

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty -	Dr. O	Sha	Kshat	بريد	ja.	
----------------------------	-------	-----	-------	------	-----	--

5 point Rating Scale Excellent=5 Very Good=4 Good=3 Average=2 Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average



4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

9. The curriculum has the potential in deve	croping the in	abit of Self Ie	arning amon	g the studen	ts
Excellent				Α	
Very Good					
Good					1
Average					
Poor					
10. The curriculum has focus on skill devel	opment				
	-				
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good			*		
Average					
Poor					
11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate	to discrimina	ate the stude	nts		
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good					
Average					
Poor					
12.How do you rate the transformation of y	our students	after the cor	npletion of th	e course?	
Éxcellent			•		
Very Good Good					
Average					
Poor					
001					
13. Qualitative feedback	-499	2			
Corron culam chhances	the 1	Pam = 1	in allow or	Stu	1.
		VII VPett	MUICO E	att	ne

NASHIK

प्रा. क्षी ३५। अतिव



Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty - ASSI. Prof. Battage S.P

5 point Rating Scale
Excellent=5
Very Good=4
Good=3
Average=2
Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

Good


Average



4. The module /	sections in the	syllabus are p	properly se	quenced		No.
Excellent						
Yery Good						
Good '					7	
Average						
Poor						
rooi						
5. Sufficient ref	erence material	and books ar	e available	for the top	ics mentioned in	n the syllabus
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good						
Average						
Poor						
1 001						
6. The curriculu	um is balanced v	with regard to	the theore	etical and p	ractical knowle	dge
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good						
Average						
Poor						
7. How do rate	the courses in te	erms of their 1	relevance t	o the latest	and/or the futu	re technologies?
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good						
Average						
Poor						
8. Pedagogy pro	oposed in the cu	rriculum mat	ches the co	ontents		
Excellent						
Very Good				*		
Good						
Average						
Poor						
1 001						

9. The curriculum has the pot	ential in developing the habit of self learning	among the students
Excellent		
Very Good		A .
Good	1	
Average		
Poor		
1 001		
10. The curriculum has former		
10. The curriculum has focus of	on skill development	
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
11. Evaluation of the course is	appropriate to discriminate the students	
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
12. How do you rate the transfo	rmation of your students after the completion	of the course?
Excellent Very Good		
Yery Good Good		
Average		
Poor		
1 001		
13. Qualitative feedback	1470	
Professional	development skills	and
	teaching abilities	are Seen

in cuniculum,





Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty -	Dr. k	grti	Chitte.	
----------------------------	-------	------	---------	--

5 point Rating Scale			
Excellent=5			
Very Good=4			
Good=3			
Average=2			
Poor=1			
1. The depth of the course c	content is adequate to have s	ignificant learning	outcomes.
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
2. Syllabus is sufficient to be	ridge the gap between indus	try standards /curi	rent global
scenarios and academics		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	S
Excellent			

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

Very Good Good Average Poor

Good

Average

4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced



Excellent
Hery Good
Good
Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Very Good Good Average Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 10. The curriculum has focus on skill development	
Very Good Good Average Poor	
Good Average Poor	
Average Poor	
Poor	
10. The curriculum has focus on skill development	
10. The curriculum has focus on skill development	
10. The curriculum has focus on skill development	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	
Excellent	
Xery Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
12. How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	*
Poor	
13. Qualitative feedback	
Goals are appropriate for implementing	
Drogreimme.	
S NASHIK S	
Dr. Kirti Dilip Chit	



Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/Faculty - Shobha Dincsh Shynige

5 point Rating Scale Excellent=5 Very Good=4 Good=3 Average=2 Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent Very Good Good

Average

Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced



Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent

Xery Good

Good

Average

Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

9. The curriculum	has the potential in	developing the ha	abit of self learn	ing among the stude	nts
Excellent Very Good		ı		*	
Good					
Average					
Poor					
10. The curriculum	n has focus on skill	development			
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good					
Average					
Poor					
11. Evaluation of t	the course is approp	oriate to discrimin	ate the students		
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good				* *	
Average					
Poor					
12.How do you rat	te the transformatio	on of your student	s after the comp	letion of the course?	
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good					
Average					
Poor					
12 Qualitative for	dhaal				
13. Qualitative fee	uback	1989			
There	is very	scope	tox sl	sill develop	ment.
	7				3
					a :
					Y
		FRE			
		1/college	2011	8 6	
		(E)	151	- Shunlas	è C
		(S (NASHIK	7511	Charles and the same of the sa	
			ASS	1. Professor	
		The Wall	11		



Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

	11 11	- N. J. C.
	Vanil	110 -0 V - 11
Name of Teacher/ Faculty -	100179	ushar Kolhe
- tunic of feacher, faculty -		

5 point Rating Scale
Excellent=5
Very Good=4
Good=3
Average=2
Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent
Wery Good
Good
Average
Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent
Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average



Market of the transfer of the second transfer of

4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

9. The curriculum	has the potentia	l in developing the	habit of self	learning among	the students	
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good		1				
Average						
Poor						
1 001						
10. The curriculum	n has focus on sl	kill development				
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good						
Average						*
Poor						
11. Evaluation of t	the course is app	propriate to discrin	ninate the stu	idents		
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good						
Average						
Poor						
12.How do you ra	te the transform	nation of your stud	ents after the	e completion of th	ne course?	
Excellent						
Very Good						
Good						
Average						
Poor						
13. Qualitative fee	odback					
_			1/9	Λ γ	1	1.34
<u>Carrical</u>	um has	abilities		develop	the ha	pits
ob	self l	earning.				•
						X .
			EGE			

NASHIK

Asst. Professor