



K.K.Wagh College of Education, Nashik

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty - Dr. Usha Kshatriya.

5 point Rating Scale

Excellent=5

Very Good=4

Good=3

Average=2

Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor



4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

✓ Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

9. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

10. The curriculum has focus on skill development

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

Excellent

✓ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

12. How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?

✓ Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average


Poor

13. Qualitative feedback

Curriculum enhances the Competencies of students



Uppehalgi
प्रा. स्त्री. उप। सत्रिय



K.K.Wagh College of Education, Nashik

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty - ASSI. Prof. Battase S.P

5 point Rating Scale

Excellent=5

Very Good=4

Good=3

Average=2

Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor



4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

9. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

10. The curriculum has focus on skill development

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

12. How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average


Poor

13. Qualitative feedback

Professional development skills and
recognition of teaching abilities are seen
in curriculum.



Battan
Battan S.P.



K.K.Wagh College of Education, Nashik

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty - Dr. Kirti Chitte

5 point Rating Scale

Excellent=5

Very Good=4

Good=3

Average=2

Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor



4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

☒ Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

9. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

10. The curriculum has focus on skill development

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

12. How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Average
- ☐ Poor

13. Qualitative feedback

Goals are appropriate for implementing
programme




Dr. Kirti Dilip Chitambar



K.K.Wagh College of Education, Nashik

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty - Shobha Dinesh Zhunigre

5 point Rating Scale

Excellent=5

Very Good=4

Good=3

Average=2

Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent

Very Good

Good

☒ Average

Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours


Excellent

Very Good

Good

☒ Average

Poor



4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

9. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students

Excellent
Very Good
☒ Good
☐ Average
Poor

10. The curriculum has focus on skill development

Excellent
Very Good
☒ Good
☐ Average
Poor

11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

Excellent
☒ Very Good
☐ Good
☐ Average
Poor

12. How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?

Excellent
Very Good
☒ Good
☐ Average
Poor

13. Qualitative feedback

There is very scope for skill development.



Shunaree
Asst. Professor.



K.K.Wagh College of Education, Nashik

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum

Name of Teacher/ Faculty - Yogita Tushar Kolhe

5 point Rating Scale

Excellent=5

Very Good=4

Good=3

Average=2

Poor=1

1. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

2. Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor



4. The module / sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced

Excellent
Very Good
☒ Good
Average
Poor

5. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus

Excellent
☒ Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

6. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge

☒ Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

7. How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?

Excellent
☒ Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

8. Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents

☒ Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

9. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

10. The curriculum has focus on skill development

Excellent

☒ Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

11. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

Excellent

Very Good

☒ Good

Average

Poor

12. How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?

☒ Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

Poor

13. Qualitative feedback

Curriculum has abilities to develop the habits
of self learning.



Palle

Asst. Professor